Trainslation

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY



INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

	(ne 30 and Kule 70)			
Applicant's or agent's file reference AO-F8PCT	FOR FURTHER	ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416		
To Complete the second		date (day/month/year) 003 (27.08.2003)	Priority date (day/month/year)		
International Patent Classification (IPC) or n A61L 27/40, 27/42, 27/44	ational classification	and IPC			
Applicant	OGISC), Makoto			
This report is the international prelin Authority under Article 35 and trans	ninary examination re mitted to the applican	port, established by this t according to Article 36	International Preliminary Examining		
 This REPORT consists of a total of 5 sheets, including this cover sheet. This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, comprising: 					
a. (sent to the applicant and		-	sheets, as follows:		
sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).					
sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.					
b. (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)) readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).					
4. This report contains indications relating to the following items:					
Box No. I Basis of the rep	ort				
Box No. II Priority					
Box No. III Non-establishm	ent of opinion with re	egard to novelty, inventiv	e step and industrial applicability		
Box No. IV Lack of unity or	f invention				
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;					
Box No. VI Certain documents cited					
Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application					
Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application					
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion of this report			
25 March 2004 (25.03.2004)		02 Dece	ember 2004 (02.12.2004)		
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/JP		Authorized officer			
Facsimile No.		Telephone No.			

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.

PCT/JP2003/010826

Box No	o. I	Basis of the report					
1. With	h regard rwise in	d to the language, this report is based on the international application in the indicated under this item.	language in which it was filed, unless				
	This whic	s report is based on translations from the original language into the follow ch is language of a translation furnished for the purpose of:	ving language,				
İ		international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b))					
ł	publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4) international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)						
		(
	are not The in	d to the elements of the international application, this report is based of the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are refect annexed to this report): international application as originally filed/furnished	on (replacement sheets which have been rred to in this report as "originally filed"				
		escription:					
	pages	1, 2, 0, 7, 12-14, 10-20	, as originally filed/furnished				
	pages	received by this Authority on					
	pages	received by this Authority of	26 August 2004 (26.08.2004)				
	the cla	aims:					
	pages	2 6, 5-10, 16, 19, 22-20	, as originally filed/furnished				
	pages'	, as affeitided (to	ogether with any statement) under Article 19				
	pages'	1, 17 received by this Authority on	26 August 2004 (26.08.2004)				
	pages'	received by this Authority on					
	the dra	awings:					
	pages	1/6-6/6	as originally filed/formished				
	pages*	* received by this Authority on	, as originally filed/furnished				
	pages*	* received by this Authority on					
	a sequ	nence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to S					
		to S	requerice Listing.				
3.	The an	mandmonta have assetted the de-					
3. [2]		mendments have resulted in the cancellation of:					
		the description, pages					
	<u>⊠</u> 1	the claims, Nos. 7, 8, 20, 21					
	t	the drawings, sheets/figs					
	t	the sequence listing (specify):					
	a	any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):					
	(Rule 7	eport has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as 70.2(c)). The description, pages	report and listed below had not been indicated in the Supplemental Box				
* If item	4 appli	ies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "superseded."					
		//// (Poy No. 1) // 2004)					

INTERNATIONAL	PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTA	RHJTV
---------------	-------------------------------	-------

International application No.

B N N			PC1/JP03/10826		26			
Box No. V	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicabilit citations and explanations supporting such statement							
1. Statement								
Novelty (N)		Claims	1-6, 9-19, 2	2-26	YES			
		Claims			NO			
Inventive step (IS)		Claims						
		Claims	1 6 0 10 0		— YES			
			1-6, 9-19, 22	2-26	ИО			
Industrial applicability (IA)		Claims	1-6, 9-19, 22	2-26	YES			
•		Claims			— NO			
2. Citations and	explanations (Rule 7	0.7)						
Document 1: Document 2:	JP 04-240454 EL DEEB, M. demineralized ORAL AND 1	A (Nippon Electric et al., Osteogenesi bone powder and p MAXILLOFACIAL	e Glass Co., Ltd.) Augus in composite grafts of corous hydroxylapatite SURGERY, 1989, Vol.	of allogenic , JOURNAL OF ol. 47, No. 1, p. 50-				
Document 3: Document 4: Document 5: Document 6: Document 7:	WO 95/13102 JP 2003-51788 IGNJATOVIC	A1 (IMPLANT IN 88 A (Henogen SA) 5, N. et al., A study er, using FT-IR spe	ing & Cement Co., Ltd OPTICAL CO., LTD.) NOVATIONS, INC.) June 3, 2003 of HAp/PLLA composectroscopy, Biomateria	May 22, 2001 May 18, 1995				

Document 1 cited in the international search report describes a porous implant material made of crystallized glass wherein the osteogenesis promoting material is either adhered to or impregnated in the crystallized glass porous body (claim 1), and it lists decalcified bone powder as the osteogenesis promoting material (Par. No. 0013; page 3, Examples).

Document 2 states that excellent osteogenesis is observed after transplantation of a complex of autologous decalcified bone powder and porous hydroxyapatite (see Abstract).

Document 3 describes a calcium phosphate bone prosthesis with micropores of 0.5 µm or less that is a porous body having a three-dimensional reticulate structure provided with communicating void channels (see claim 1).

Document 4 describes a bone prosthesis containing a bone inducing factor and porous β -tricalcium phosphate that has pores with diameters of 50-1,000 μ m and pores with diameters of 5 μ m (claim 4) or less.

Document 5 describes an implant that is surgically implantable in living bone, and it describes a method for between the implant and the bone (see Claim 1; page 1, lines 8-11, etc.)

Document 6, which was cited in a written opinion dated September 21, 2004, describes the use of bone particles to induce bone formation (see claims 15 and 16, etc.), and it states that particles of unmodified bone are preferred as those bone particles (see claim 25, Par. No. 0013).

Document 7 describes a mixed implant of autologous bone powder and a complex of hydroxyapatite/poly-L-lactide (Abstract, etc.), and it states that the autologous bone powder can be obtained by pulverizing the bone of a mouse femoral region (see page 572, Materials and Methods).

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.

PCT/JP03/10826

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient. Continuation of Box V:

oClaims 1, 5, 6, and 9-16

Documents 1-7 above do not describe the inventions of claims 1, 5, 6, and 9-16, and therefore these inventions are novel.

When the inventions of the above claims are compared with the inventions described in documents 1 and 2, whereas decalcified bone powder is used in the invention described in document 1, living bone powder is used in the inventions of the above claims, and they differ in that respect.

However, document 6 states that it is preferable to use unmodified bone powder to induce bone formation, and document 7 describes transplantation using bone powder that is actually obtained by pulverizing living bone.

It is demonstrated by these descriptions that bone material that has not been decalcified, i.e., bone material from living bone, can be used to induce bone formation, and in the induction of bone formation, bone material from living bone has an effect that is preferable to modified bone material.

Because decalcified bone powder is used to induce bone formation in documents 1 and 2 above, this examination finds that persons skilled in the art can easily focus on the common aspects of this problem, and use bone powder that is not decalcified, i.e., bone powder from living bone, in the inventions described in documents 1 and 2 in the same manner that the decalcified bone powder is used, or with the expectation of obtaining a superior effect in inducing bone formation.

Moreover, this examination finds that using powder obtained from living bone does not provide a particularly outstanding effect in comparison with the use of decalcified bone.

Furthermore, although document 2 does not describe impregnating fine bone powder in a porous structural body, document 1 describes a method whereby bone powder is dispersed in physiological saline and then the porous body is immersed in that physiological. Thus, this examination finds that persons skilled in the art can easily include bone powder in the porous structural body by adopting a method similar to that described in document 1.

Moreover, this examination finds that no particularly outstanding effect is provided thereby. As a result, the inventions of claims 1, 5, 6, and 9-16 do not involve an inventive step with respect to documents 1, 2, 6, and 7.

However, in a written reply dated November 18, 2004, the applicant asserted that the present invention does involve an inventive step by pointing out that:

The porous structural body impregnated with bone in the present invention provides the effects of (a) containing a fine bone powder that differs from that described in documents 6 and 7;

- (b) displaying excellent bone regenerative capability; and
- (c) requiring only a small amount of collected bone when autologous bone is used.

The above assertions of the applicant are considered below.

(Continued to next page)

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.

PCT/JP03/10826

Supplemental Box

ÿ

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient. Continuation of Box V:

With respect to (a), even though the particle size of the bone particles described in documents is larger, that does not change the fact that based on the descriptions in documents 6 and 7 bone material that is not decalcified, i.e., bone material from living bone can be used to induce bone formation and in the induction of bone formation, bone material from living bone has an effect that is preferable to modified bone material.

With respect to (b), in light of the explanation provided in the Description, this examination finds that using powder obtained from living bone does not provide a particularly outstanding effect in comparison with the use of decalcified bone.

With respect to (c), the scope of the claims in this application includes cases in which the bone powder that is used is not from autologous bone, but this examination does not find that this effect is particularly outstanding. Even if autologous bone were to be specified, in consideration of the fact that the matrix material is porous in the inventions described in documents 1 and 2, this examination finds that the amount of decalcified bone used would be just as small as in the inventions of this application, and if bone powder that is not decalcified, i.e., bone powder from living bone, is used in the inventions described in documents 1 and 2, it is a foreseeable effect from the descriptions in the cited documents that the amount of powder would be lessened.

As a result, the assertions of the applicant cannot be accepted.

oClaims 2 and 3

In the inventions of the above claims the pore size, etc., of the porous structural body is specified. However, in the field of artificial bone, etc., it is common practice to use a porous material as an implant, and it is public knowledge that porous material with the kind of pore size specified in claims 2 and 3 of this application can be used as an implant (see documents 3 and 4).

Moreover, descriptions in documents 1, 2, 6, and 7 show that that bone-forming capability is enhanced by mixing bone powder into the implant, and especially the descriptions in documents 6 and 7 show that bone powder obtained by pulverizing living bone can be used. Therefore, this examination finds that persons skilled in the art can easily use a porous material containing bone powder obtained by pulverizing living bone in the inventions of documents 3 and 4 with the expectation of a similar effect.

Furthermore, this examination finds that the effect obtained thereby is not particularly outstanding. As a result, the inventions of claims 2 and 3 do not involve an inventive step with respect to documents 1-4, 6 and 7 above.

oClaims 4, 17-19 and 22-26

As shown in document 5 above, the fact that bonding with the surrounding bone can be improved by roughening the surface of an implant is publicly known, and this examination finds that persons skilled in the art can easily roughen the surface in the inventions described in documents 1-4 with the expectation of a similar effect.

Furthermore, this examination finds that the effect obtained thereby is not particularly outstanding. As a result, the inventions of claims 4, 17-19 and 22-26 do not involve an inventive step with respect to documents 1-7 above.